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Effects of local site, propagation path and source in ground motion records observed in Lima, Peru, were separated by the spectral inversion method proposed by Iwata and Irikura (1986 [1], 1988 [2]) to examine the relation between local subsurface conditions and local site amplifications in a frequency range from 0.5 to 20 Hz. S-wave portions of accelerograms in horizontal components observed at 5 stations for 11 events along the Pacific coast of Lima city, Peru, were analyzed. The Q factor was obtained from our inversion results as frequency-dependent function $Q_S(f) = 80.4f^{0.63}$. In terms of local site effects, stations located on alluvial gravel deposits were likely to suffer amplification at frequencies larger than 4 Hz, while one station (CAL site) located on soft soil sediment has different behavior of amplification. We also compared our results with 1-D theoretical computation, observed standard spectral ratio and observed H/V spectra in previous studies, finding that site responses determined by different methods are similar. In addition, we analyzed the relationship between average S-wave velocity in the top 10 meters and the average site amplification factor in a frequency range between 0.5 Hz and 10.0 Hz, showing a good correlation between the two parameters. We also calculated the average transfer function ($AvTF$) to compare it with the existing amplification map for Lima city, and found that our calculations differed from this map.
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1. Introduction

In the last 60 years, Lima city, Peru, has been hit by earthquakes with the biggest Mw of 8.1, according to the earthquake catalog compiled by the Geophysical Institute of Peru (IGP). Previous studies [3, 4] indicated that most of these events have caused unusually low level of damage in the city itself compared with other places of the world hit by earthquakes with similar magnitudes. The explanation of this is that a big portion of Lima city is underlain by sandy, boulder gravel, poorly graded, but usually very dense, with rounded cobbles and boulders up to 50 cm in diameter, which is locally named as Lima Conglomerate or Cascajo. This Quaternary alluvial deposit has a thickness of at least 86 m [5, 6]. It was during an earthquake in October 3, 1974, (Mw = 8.1) with a focal depth about 13 km that a few areas outside of the center of Lima were severely damaged, such as La Campiña (Chorrillos), La Molina and Callao – La Punta [5]. After that, several investigations have been carried out for the purpose of finding out the reasons and evaluating local site effects at Lima city [5, 7–9]. These works have proven that the previously mentioned places suffer from large amplifications in the long period range.

The present study uses ground motion records observed along the Pacific coast of Lima city, Peru, for the assessment of local site amplification. The relation between local subsurface conditions and the local site effect in some areas of Lima city are discussed based on results obtained using the spectral inversion method.

2. Motion Data and Accelerometer Stations

Earthquake records from 2005 to 2008 were used in this study to analyze site effects at 5 stations in Lima city. Table 1 details the 11 events used in this work, and Fig. 1 shows locations of recording sites. These seismic events are shallow and intermediate earthquakes (depth < 140 km), from 50 km to 180 km in hypocentral distance, i.e., the distance from each seismic recording station to the earthquake’s epicenter, as shown in Fig. 2. The Local Magnitude ($M_L$) of seismic events ranges from 4.0 to
Table 1. Event information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Hour</th>
<th>Long. (deg)</th>
<th>Lat. (deg)</th>
<th>Mag. (ML)</th>
<th>Depth (km)</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005/03/02</td>
<td>13:48</td>
<td>-76.14</td>
<td>-11.86</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/07/19</td>
<td>8:45</td>
<td>-77.11</td>
<td>-12.59</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/07/25</td>
<td>6:51</td>
<td>-77.33</td>
<td>-12.24</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/10/14</td>
<td>5:01</td>
<td>-76.74</td>
<td>-12.40</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/11/10</td>
<td>16:38</td>
<td>-76.22</td>
<td>-12.26</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/12/27</td>
<td>17:02</td>
<td>-76.57</td>
<td>-12.22</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006/05/26</td>
<td>1:57</td>
<td>-77.41</td>
<td>-11.74</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006/12/11</td>
<td>21:53</td>
<td>-77.37</td>
<td>-11.64</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/03/29</td>
<td>6:40</td>
<td>-77.73</td>
<td>-12.17</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/03/29</td>
<td>12:51</td>
<td>-77.25</td>
<td>-12.25</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/06/07</td>
<td>13:06</td>
<td>-77.29</td>
<td>-12.48</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source parameters were determined by the Geophysical Institute of Peru (IGP)

(* means that the event was recorded)

Fig. 1. Location of stations and epicenters on geological map. Red circles show epicenters of events used in this work. Blue triangles represent stations located on the geological map of Lima city [10].

5.7. Because PGAs were mostly as small as 120 cm/s², we assume that soil had a linear site response.

Four stations – CSM, CAL, MOL and CDLCIP – are maintained by the Japan Peru Center for Earthquake Engineering and Disaster Mitigation (CISMID) Accelerometer Network, and one station, LMO, is deployed by the Geophysical Institute of Peru (IGP). Locations of all observation sites are shown in Fig. 1 on a geological map of Lima city [10]. According to this map, most of the stations – sites CSM, CAL, MOL and CDLCIP – are basically located on alluvial soil deposits belonging to the Holocene (Fig. 1), while site LMO is located on Cretaceous.

Fig. 2. Distribution of hypocentral distance and depth.
Table 2. Stations, locations and surface geology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Long. (deg)</th>
<th>Lat. (deg)</th>
<th>Geological Age</th>
<th>Geology</th>
<th>Local Surface conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>Rimac</td>
<td>-77.050</td>
<td>-12.010</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Alluvial</td>
<td>Alluvial gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAL</td>
<td>Callao</td>
<td>-77.150</td>
<td>-12.060</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Alluvial</td>
<td>Clays and gravels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOL</td>
<td>La Molina</td>
<td>-76.890</td>
<td>-12.100</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Alluvial</td>
<td>Alluvial gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDLCIP</td>
<td>San Isidro</td>
<td>-77.050</td>
<td>-77.050</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Alluvial</td>
<td>Alluvial gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMO</td>
<td>La Molina</td>
<td>-76.950</td>
<td>-12.080</td>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Granodiorite Rock</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Shear wave velocity profiles for stations used in this study.

Fig. 4. Theoretical amplification factor for reference site CLDCIP used as a constraint condition.

Fig. 5. Particle motion plots for two components of motion (CSM station, seismic event 2008/03/29 12:51).

cious intrusive rock (Fig. 1). Exact coordinates, geological age, geology and local surface conditions of each site are shown in Table 2.

S-wave velocity profiles are available for most of the sites (Fig. 3). S-wave velocity profiles at CSM and CDLCIP were determined by the Multichannel Analysis of Surface Wave (MASW) technique (Piedra R., personal communication). Vs profiles for CAL and MOL sites were estimated by the inversion of spectral ratios (H/V and standard techniques) [11], not obtained through geophysical methods.

3. Method of Analysis

At the start of this investigation, we analyze available data using the Spectral Inversion Method (SIM) [1, 2] since from a practical point of view, this method offers the advantage that records from some events can be included in inversion even if these events are not recorded at all sites. This offers the advantage of more complete exploitation of the data set [12].

The inversion method separates source, path, and site effects simultaneously from observed S-wave Fourier amplitude spectra by taking the logarithm of the following equation

\[ O_{ij}(f) = S_i(f) \cdot R_{ij}^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\pi R_{ij} f / Q_S(f) V_S\right) \cdot G_j(f) \]

where \( O_{ij}(f) \) is the observed S-wave Fourier amplitude spectrum of the \( i \)th event at the \( j \)th station, \( S_i(f) \) the source amplitude spectrum of the \( i \)th event, \( G_j(f) \) the site amplification factor at the \( j \)th station, \( R_{ij} \) the source distance between the \( i \)th event and the \( j \)th station, \( Q_S(f) \) the average \( Q_S \)-value along the wave propagation path, and
the average S-wave velocity along the wave propagation path. Note that 3.5 km/sec is assumed in this study. Eq. (1) is modified into a linear form by taking its logarithm. Source spectra, $Q_S$-value, and the site amplification factor at each station are obtained in a least-squares sense by the linear inversion method. As a constraint condition, we assigned the CDLCIP site as the reference site, which is located on a shallow, dense to very dense, coarse gravel. We set the site amplification factor for CDLCIP to be the same as that of the theoretical 1-D amplification of an S-wave for the $V_S$ model. The factor of site amplification is constrained from 2 to about 5 at frequencies from 0.5 to 20 Hz as shown in Fig. 4. This constraint condition represents the free surface amplification effect.

As mentioned above, the spectral inversion method utilizes direct S-waves, so we selected an S-wave portion of two horizontal components, NS and EW, beginning at initial shear-wave arrival. In order to recognize the onset time of an S-wave, particle motion plots for two components of horizontal motion (Fig. 5) and Husid plots [13], where the horizontal axis is the time and vertical axis is the accumulated horizontal component square for each horizontal component (Fig. 6), were used. The end moment of the S wave was picked up by using cumulative a Root Mean Square (RMS) function [14]. In this study, the time at which the S-wave window ended was defined as the point on the time axis at which cumulative RMS starts to decrease, as illustrated in Fig. 7. The end moment of the S wave was estimated visually.

After the onset and end times of the S-wave were determined, the S-wave portion was cosine-tapered 10 percent at each end of the time window, using the same criterion as that used by Takemura et al. (1990) [15], and Fourier-transformed into the spectrum. Spectral amplitudes were then smoothed with the 17-point moving average method. Fourier acceleration amplitude spectra of two horizontal components were computed and summed vectorially.

4. Results

4.1. Source Spectra and $Q_S$-Value

Source acceleration amplitude spectra were obtained from the inversion technique. It is divided over $\omega^2$ to obtain source displacement spectra and to replace in Eq. (2)
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Figure 8. Examples of seismic moment density functions.

Figure 9. $Q_S$-values as a function of frequency.

Figure 10. Comparison of site response for different techniques.

shown in Fig. 2. Having an inadequate hypocentral distance range in consideration of the propagation paths of direct S-waves from source to station causes instability in the solution.

The significant trough observed in Fig. 9 also affects the form of the source spectrum, since a prominent peak in the same frequency range is also observed in spectra (Fig. 8). This is because the $Q_S$-value along the wave propagation path influences the source spectrum.

4.2. Local Site Effects

Figure 10 shows site amplification factors (thick solid lines) obtained by the spectral inversion method. Since S-wave velocity profiles of some stations are known, we calculated the theoretical 1-D transfer function of S-waves (broken lines) to compare results in Fig. 10. There is agreement between the two results at high frequencies for CSM station. Broad peaks and troughs of the theoretical transfer function correspond to the site amplification factor obtained by inversion analysis. Narrow peaks and troughs of the theoretical transfer function for the CAL station are identified in the inversion result, but not all peaks and troughs of theoretical transfer functions are in agreement with results of the SIM because data of observed spectra used for evaluating the SIM are
5. Discussion

5.1. Comparison with the Site Amplification Factor and the Standard Spectral Ratio

Cabrejos J. (2009) [18] estimated amplification of ground motion using records at the same stations as those evaluated in this study. In his study, he used the Standard Spectral Ratio (SSR). Since the spectral ratio is not necessary to know the S-wave velocity structure at the reference site, he used the LMO site, located on intrusive rock, as a reference site.

The site amplification factor obtained by the SIM is compared with the spectral ratio by Cabrejos J. (2009) [18]. It is important to know that site amplification at all sites is defined as the ratio of surface motion to input motion from the bottom layer of the model for the reference site. The standard spectral ratio (SSR), in contrast, is defined as the ratio of surface motion at each site to surface motion at the reference site. The definition of site amplification in the SSR is different from that in the spectral inversion method because surface motion at the reference site is affected with the amplification of shallow soil due to weathering and the fractured nature of the rock near the surface [19]. The propagation path effect is also included in the standard spectral ratio but the SSR assumes that the path term will be cancelled when separation between stations is much less than their hypocentral distance from the source (as in Cabrejos’ study). In spectral inversion, on the contrary, the three effects are automatically separated.

Figure 10 also displays a comparison between amplification from inversion (thick solid lines) and the SSR (thin lines). There is a similarity in the shape of the curves, peaks and troughs can be identified in both methods, both of which support our results – although different techniques for estimating the site response have been applied and different reference sites used, as previously mentioned. Nonetheless, absolute amplifications are systematically different from each other because of differences in their definitions.

5.2. Surface Geology and Local Surface Conditions

According to Fig. 1, CSM, CAL, MOL and CDLCIP sites are located on Quaternary alluvial deposits, with the exception of the LMO rock site. Fig. 10 shows that the CSM, MOL and CDLCIP stations show larger amplifications at frequencies above 4 Hz. The CAL site, however, shows amplification levels much higher and predominant frequencies less than 4 Hz, even though this station is also located on Quaternary deposits. According to the local surface conditions of these sites (Table 2), the CSM, MOL and CDLCIP sites are located over the Lima Conglomerate, which consists of a medium dense to very dense coarse gravel and sand with cobbles, extending from the ground surface to rock [5]. The CAL station, however, is located on gravel layers that overlie a thick clay deposit about 20 m thick. Finally, under this layer, there is a dense sandy gravel deposit [7].

Our results prove that stiff soil such as the Lima Conglomerate are likely to suffer from high amplification at frequencies larger than 4 Hz, while places such as the Callao district, where the CAL site is located, show high amplification levels in a low-frequency range lower than 4 Hz because of unfavorable soil subsurface conditions.

5.3. Microtremor Measurements

Calderon et al. (2012) [20] made several microtremor measurements over Lima city in order to estimate soil profile characteristics. Two of these were carried out relatively close to the CAL and CSM stations. From their results, H/V spectra observed at the CAL site clearly show predominant peaks at 0.15 sec and 0.5 sec as well as at periods longer than 1.0 sec. These results are in good agreement with our spectral inversion results for this site (Fig. 10). For the CSM site, Calderon et al. (2012) [20] point out that a small bump has been identified at a period about 0.15 sec, which has also been detected in our results (Fig. 10).

5.4. Average S-Wave Velocity and Site Amplification

It is well known that the relationship between the site amplification factor and average shear wave velocity is a good indicator for estimating site effects [21, 22]. Most studies propose trend lines that fit the relation between the two parameters. Because for this work, we do not count on enough data to propose reliable relationships, we only calculate the average site amplification in a frequency range from 0.5 Hz to 10.0 Hz, as shown in Table 3. The average site amplification factor for this study is defined as the arithmetic average in the range of the analyzed frequency. We have taken into account the value of $V_{S30}$ – average S-wave velocity in the top 10 meters of S-wave profiles – as a way to determine the relationship with the average amplification factor. This is because previous studies in the same area of interest [9] indicated that the average of the shear-wave velocity profile for the first 30 meters ($V_{S30}$) overestimate soil characteristics in

It is well known that the relationship between the site amplification factor and average shear wave velocity is a good indicator for estimating site effects [21, 22]. Most studies propose trend lines that fit the relation between the two parameters. Because for this work, we do not count on enough data to propose reliable relationships, we only calculate the average site amplification in a frequency range from 0.5 Hz to 10.0 Hz, as shown in Table 3. The average site amplification factor for this study is defined as the arithmetic average in the range of the analyzed frequency. We have taken into account the value of $V_{S30}$ – average S-wave velocity in the top 10 meters of S-wave profiles – as a way to determine the relationship with the average amplification factor. This is because previous studies in the same area of interest [9] indicated that the average of the shear-wave velocity profile for the first 30 meters ($V_{S30}$) overestimate soil characteristics in
Lima. Table 3 shows value $V_{S10}$ for the CAL, CSM, MOL and CDLCIP sites, as well as their respective average site amplification in the frequency range between 0.5 Hz and 10.0 Hz. Note that there is a good correlation in this frequency range because stiff soils are likely to have low amplification. In the future, as part of this investigation, we intend to propose relationships between the two parameters by using new data belonging to other stations in Lima city to evaluate local site amplification.

5.5. Amplification Map for Lima City

Calderon D. (2012) [9] has proposed an amplification map for Lima city estimated from the Average Transfer Function ($AvTF$) based on shallow S-wave profiles, since formerly this city does not count on one. 105 S-wave velocity profiles obtained from the Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) are used to provide $AvTF$ as well as to develop this map. Calderon used this adapted formula to calculate the average transfer function ($AvTF$),

$$AvTF = \frac{1}{0.95} \int_{0.05}^{1.0} TF(T) \, dT$$

where $TF$ is the transfer function and $T$ the period. Note that this equation does not consider free surface amplification because ground response is expressed as the spectral ratio between motion to the surface and that at the reference site. The site response is likely to be near 1 at low frequency limits.

Since the site amplification factor obtained from the inversion result is now available for 5 stations, we calculated $AvTF$, using this equation for each site to look for agreement with the proposed soil amplification map. Note that because we considered free surface amplification in the inversion analysis, the site response is represented $2E_0/E$, so we divided 2 of site amplification factor by the SIM.

Our calculations indicate that by using Eq. (3), the amplification factor for some of the sites is relatively low in comparison to the range of values that Calderon proposed on the amplification map, as shown in Table 4. Calculated $AvTF$ for the CSM station is 0.8, nonetheless, this site is expected to have $AvTF$ from 1.0 to 1.14, according to the amplification map [9].

One of the reasons for this may be the reference site that we are using for this study, given that this reference site is located on alluvial gravel deposits and not at rock site, as we mentioned previously. Fig. 10 shows that the site amplification factor does not tend to be larger or equal than 2 at low frequencies in some sites, so the site response is not stable for this frequency range.

6. Conclusions

Using the spectral inversion method, site amplification factors at strong motion observation sites in Lima city have been evaluated from ground motion records observed during moderate seismic events. Most of the stations are located on alluvial soil deposits belonging to the Quaternary Holocene, but one station was installed on a rock site. Although the site response for sediment sites has large amplification at frequencies above 4 Hz, one station – the CAL site – shows higher amplification levels at frequencies below 4 Hz. This difference is because of local subsurface conditions – this station is located on soft soil, while others are on alluvial gravel deposits. At the rock site, the amplification level is less than that at other stations.

Obtained results were confirmed with the standard spectral ratio [18]. The same peaks and troughs are identified in the two techniques, even though the definition of absolute amplification differs between the two. The H/V spectra observed and obtained from microtremor measurement [20] also support the site response at our stations. The relationship between $V_{S10}$ and the average site amplification factor show good correlation at a frequency range from 0.5 Hz to 10.0 Hz. We also have compared our results with the amplification map for Lima city using an adapted formula [9]. Our calculations differ a little from this map probably because of the reference site that we are using in this study – a site that is located on gravel deposits and not on a rock site.

In terms of the $Q_S$-value obtained in this study, there is a conspicuous trough in the frequency range between 5 and 6 Hz because our data set does not count on uniform distribution for the hypocentral distance. It is therefore recommended that we reanalyze these results with new data to improve results.
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